

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/465,946	12/17/1999	STUART WRIGHT	CS1062#SP	9569	
7:	590 08/03/2004		EXAM	INER	
BRUCE S SHAPIRO TW199			RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
PATENT DEPARTMENT THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION		ON	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
701 EAST JOP	PA ROAD		3723		
TOWSON, MD 21286			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

121

			UNF
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	09/465,946	WRIGHT, STUART	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M Rachuba	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Jule 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowal 	action is non-final.	osecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/465,946 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment after final rejection, filed 29 June 2004, has been entered.
- 2. A thorough review of the filewrapper has been made. While applicant's amendment has overcome the previous rejections, a new rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph must be addressed before the application can be passed to allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims limit the sole plate to being "arranged to be held relative thereto in a plurality of positions". However, the specification, page 9, paragraph 3, describes the sole plate as: "It can be seen from Figures 12 and 13, that the body (36) also includes a pivotable sole plate (48). The sole plate (48) is pivotable about pivot point (50). The pivot point (50) includes a means (not shown) for allowing the sole plate (48) to be held at any one of a desired position around the range of possible pivotable positions about the point (50)." Applicant has not described the means for holding the pivot point in a plurality of positions in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to make and/or use

Art Unit: 3723

the invention. As the structure of means is not shown, described, or claimed, any amendment would have to be considered new matter. The specification is only enabling for positioning the sole plate as shown in figures 12 and 13, and not "the range of possible pivotable positions about point (50)." An amendment to the claims, to limit the pivot positions to those shown in figures 12 and 13 only would overcome this rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. This Office action is made non-final, to allow applicant the opportunity to respond to the above new grounds of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is 703-308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Rachuba Primary Patent Examiner

